Abstract Syntax Trees



- Our Exp1bytecode language was so straightforward that the best IR was an abstract representation of the instructions
- In more complex languages, especially higher-level languages it usually is not possible to design such a simple IR
- Instead, we use Abstract Syntax Trees (ASTs)

Reading

• Chap 5



Abstract Syntax Trees



 One way to think about ASTs is as parse trees with all the derivation information deleted





Abstract Syntax Tree

Abstract Syntax Trees



- Because every valid program has a parse tree, it is always possible to construct an AST for every valid input program.
- In this way ASTs are the IR of choice because it doesn't matter how complex the input language, there will always be an AST representation.
- Besides being derived from the parse tree, AST design typically follows three rules of thumb:
 - *Dense*: no unnecessary nodes
 - *Convenient*: easy to understand, easy to process
 - *Meaningful*: emphasize the operators, operands, and the relationship between them; emphasize the computations

Tuple Representation of ASTs



- A convenient way to represent AST nodes is with the following structure,
 - (TYPE [, child1, child2,...])
- A tree node is a tuple where the first component represents the type or name of the node followed by zero or more components each representing a child of the current node.
- Consider the abstract syntax tree for + x y x,



The dumpast function will become your best friend!



- Our next language is a simple high-level language that supports structured programming with 'if' and 'while' statements.
- However, it has no scoping and no explicit variable declarations.



Listing 5.1: A non-LL(1) Grammar for the Cuppal language.





- Without precedence levels it is possible to create incorrect parse trees
- Solution: "Precedence Climbing"
 - Partition operators into precedence classes
 - Write grammar rules for each precedence class starting with the lowest operator precedence class.



Listing 5.2: LL(1) grammar for the Cuppa1 language with precedence levels.



The prerequisite **left-associativity** of the operators comes naturally because LL(1) parsers execute the rule bodies from left to right.



The Lexer

<pre>token_specs = [</pre>	
# type:	value:
('COMMENT',	r'//.*'),
('GET',	r'get'),
('PUT',	r'put'),
('WHILE',	r'while'),
('IF',	r'if'),
('ELSE',	r'else'),
('NOT',	r'not'),
('ID',	r'[a-zA-Z][a-zA-Z0-9_]*'),
('INTEGER',	r'[0-9]+'),
('PLUS',	r'\+'),
('MINUS',	r'-'),
('MUL',	r'*'),
('DIV',	r'/'),
('EQ',	r'=='),
('LE',	r'=<'),
('ASSIGN',	r'='),
('LPAREN',	r'\('),
('RPAREN',	r'\)'),
('LCURLY',	r'{'),
('RCURLY',	r'}'),
('SEMI',	r';'),
('WHITESPACE',	r'[\t\n]+'),
('UNKNOWN',	r'.'),
]	

Tokenized Grammar & Lookahead Sets

```
stmt_list : ({ID,GET,PUT,WHILE,IF,LCURLY} stmt)*
stmt : {ID} ID ASSIGN exp ({SEMI} SEMI)?
     | {GET} GET ID ({SEMI} SEMI)?
     | {PUT} PUT exp ({SEMI} SEMI)?
     | {WHILE} WHILE LPAREN exp RPAREN stmt
       {IF} IF LPAREN exp RPAREN stmt ({ELSE} ELSE stmt)?
     | {LCURLY} LCURLY stmt_list RCURLY
exp : {INTEGER, ID, LPAREN, MINUS, NOT} exp_low
exp_low : {INTEGER, ID, LPAREN, MINUS, NOT} exp_med
                    ({EQ,LE} (EQ|LE) exp_med)*
exp_med : {INTEGER, ID, LPAREN, MINUS, NOT} exp_high
                    ({PLUS,MINUS} (PLUS|MINUS) exp_high)*
exp_high : {INTEGER, ID, LPAREN, MINUS, NOT} primary
                     ({MUL,DIV} (MUL|DIV) primary)*
primary : {INTEGER} INTEGER
        | {ID} ID
          {LPAREN} LPAREN exp RPAREN
          {MINUS} MINUS primary
        | {NOT} NOT primary
```

We build the corresponding LL(1) parser in the usual fashion.



The Cuppa1 Frontend



- A frontend is a parser that constructs an AST
- Each parsing function returns a snippet of AST

cuppa1_fe.py

AST: Statements





stmt : {IF} IF LPAREN exp RPAREN stmt ({ELSE} ELSE stmt)?

If-statements are interesting because part of the statements themselves are optional as indicated in the grammar rule with the question mark operator. The grammar rule is implemented by the frontend as,

```
elif token.type in ['IF']:
   stream.match('IF')
   stream.match('LPAREN')
   e = exp(stream)
   stream.match(RPAREN)
   s1 = stmt(stream)
   if stream.pointer().type in ['ELSE']:
      stream.match('ELSE')
      s2 = stmt(stream)
      return ('IF', e, s1, s2)
else:
      return ('IF', e, s1, ('NIL',))
```

cuppa1_fe.py

AST: Statement Lists





AST: Expressions

<pre>primary : {INTEGER} INTEGER</pre>	
The frontend implementation for this is,	<pre>elif stream.pointer().type in ['ID']: tk = stream.match('ID')</pre>
<pre>if stream.pointer().type in ['INTEGER']:</pre>	return ('ID', tk.value)
tk = stream.match('INTEGER')	
	primary : {MINUS} MINUS primary
return ('INIEGER', Int(tk.value))	······································
This should look familiar,	The corresponding frontend code is,
similar sinuciure as for the	alif stream pointer() type in $\Gamma'MINUS'$
	eiii Stream.pointer().type in [hinos].
language.	stream.match('MINUS')
	e = primary(stream)
Next we look at the medium precedence PLUS and M	if $e[0] == 'INTEGER':$
corresponding grammar rule is,	roturn ('INTECED' _int(o[1]))
	recurn (INIEGER, -Inc(e[1]))
exp med · {INTEGER ID PAREN MINUS NOT} exp high	else:
	return ('UMINUS', e)
({PLUS,MINUS} (PLUS MINUS) exp_nig	n)*

cuppa1 fe.py



Running the Frontend





Running the Frontend

<pre>\$ python3 >>> from cuppa1_fe import parse</pre>	
>>> from dumpast import dumpast	
<pre>### parse the program >>> ast = parse("get x; if (0 =< x) put 1;") >>> dumpast(ast)</pre>	<pre>\$ python3 >>> from cuppa1_fe import parse >>> from dumpast import dumpast</pre>
(STMTLIST [(GET	<pre>### parse the program >>> ast = parse("get x; if (0 =< x) put 1 else put 2;") >>> dumpast(ast)</pre>
<pre> (ID x)) (IF (LE (INTEGER 0) (ID x)) (PUT (INTEGER 1)) (NIL))]) >>></pre>	<pre>(STMTLIST</pre>