# **Formal Language Specification**

- Programming languages are only useful if they are "understood" by a computer.
- In order to insure this, programming languages must have:
  - A concise form (syntax), and
  - A concise <u>meaning</u> (semantics)

neither one can be ambiguous.



#### • Chap 2 in MPL

# Formal Language Specification

# Language Specifications consist of two parts:

- The <u>syntax</u> of a programming language is the part of the language definition that says what programs look like; their <u>form</u> and <u>structure</u>.
- The <u>semantics</u> of a programming language is the part of the language definition that says what programs do; their <u>behavior</u> and <u>meaning</u>.

# **Formal Language Specification**

In order to insure conciseness of language specifications we need tools:

- Grammars are used to define the syntax.
- <u>Mathematical constructs</u> (such as functions and sets) are used to define the <u>semantics</u>.

### Grammars

Example: a grammar for simple English sentences.



## Grammars

#### **Observations:**

- A grammar consists of a collection of productions.
- Each production defines the "structure" of a <u>non-terminal</u>.
- There are no productions for terminals.
- In a grammar there is a unique nonterminal, the <u>start symbol</u>, that defines the largest structure in our language.

## How do Grammars work?

We can view grammars as rules for building <u>parse trees</u> or derivation trees for sentences in the language defined by the grammar. In these parse or derivation trees the start symbol will always be at the root of the tree.



## How do Grammars work?

#### Notes:

- A derived string can only contain terminals.
- The <u>language</u> defined by a grammar is the set of all derived strings, formally

 $L(G) = \{ s \mid s \text{ can be derived from } G \}$ 

where *G* is a grammar and s is a string of terminal symbols.

## How do Grammars work?

Now we can ask questions as follows:

- Assume we have a grammar G and a sentence s, does s belong to L(G)?
- In other words, is the sentence s a derived string from G and, it therefore belongs to L(G)?

Examples: let G be our English grammar,

- Does *s* = "the cat eats a rat" belong to *L*(*G*)?
- Does s = "the dog chases the cat" belong to L(G)?
- Show that  $s \in L(G)$  by constructing a parse tree.
- Show that  $s \notin L(G)$  by proving that no parse tree can exist for this string in G.

# Take Away

- Programming language specifications consist of two parts: a syntax and a semantic specification
- We use grammars to specify the syntax unambiguously
- Grammars:
  - Productions
  - Non-terminals
  - Terminals
  - Start symbol
- In order to prove that a string s belongs to L(G) we construct a parse tree
- In order to prove that a string s does not belong to L(G) show that a parse tree cannot exist.